FILED
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
January 16 ,2018
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY: VPC DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, Petitioner, v. REDWOOD SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Respondent.	Case No. 2:17-cv-07921-SJO-PLA ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Petitioner, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC or Commission), under the authority conferred by Section 20 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57b-1 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(a)(5), has invoked the aid of this Court for an order requiring Respondent Redwood Scientific Technologies, Inc. to comply with a civil investigative demand (CID), issued to the company on August 3, 2017, in aid of an FTC law enforcement investigation.

The Court has considered the Federal Trade Commission's Petition for an Order Enforcing Civil Investigative Demand and the papers filed in support thereof; and, appearing to the Court that Petitioner has shown good cause for the entry of such order, it is by this Court hereby

ORDERED that Respondent Redwood Scientific Technologies, Inc. appear at 8:30 a.m. on the 29th day of January, 2018, in Courtroom No. 10C of the United States Courthouse for the Central District of California, Western Division located at 350 West 1st Street, Los Angeles, California, and show cause, if any there be, why this Court should not grant said Petition and enter an Order enforcing the CID

issued to Respondent. Such an Order would direct Respondent to produce, within ten (10) days of the date of the Order, all responsive documents and information. Unless the Court determines otherwise, notwithstanding the filing or pendency of any procedural or other motions, all issues raised by the Petition and supporting papers, and any opposition to the Petition, will be considered at the hearing on the Petition, and the allegations of said Petition shall be deemed admitted unless controverted by a specific factual showing; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Respondent believes it to be necessary for the Court to hear live testimony, it must file an affidavit reflecting such testimony (or if a proposed witness is not available to provide such an affidavit, a specific description of the witness's proposed testimony) and explain why Respondent believes that live testimony is required; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Respondent intends to file pleadings, affidavits, exhibits, motions or other papers in opposition to said Petition or to the entry of the Order requested therein, such papers must be filed with the Court and received by Petitioner's counsel on the 19th day of January, 2018. Such submission shall include, in the case of any affidavits or exhibits not previously submitted, or objections not previously made to the Federal Trade Commission, an explanation as to why such objections were not made or such papers or information not submitted to the Commission. Any reply by Petitioner shall be filed with the Court and received by Respondent on the 23rd day of January, 2018; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(a)(5) and 26(a)(1)(B)(v), this is a summary proceeding and no party shall be entitled to discovery without further order of the Court upon a specific showing of need; and that the dates for a hearing and the filing of papers established by this Order shall not be altered without prior order of the Court upon good cause shown; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(a)(5) and its advisory committee note (1946), a copy of this Order and copies of said Petition

and exhibits filed therewith, shall be served forthwith by Petitioner upon Respondents or his counsel, using as expeditious means as practicable.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

DATED: January 16, 2018

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE